Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/767,441	LAWRENCE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit .
	N. M. Minnifield	1645
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>080 After Final</u>		
(1) N. M. Minnifield.	(3) Daryl A. Basham, 45	5 <u>869</u> .
(2) <u>James Heintz, 41828</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 December 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No		
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed: Prior art rejections		
Claims discussed: generally all	·	
Prior art documents discussed: 2006/0078901 and Glaser et al 2001; both of record		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	•	
•	•	
MMM nightl	·	
PRIMARY EXAMINER		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested approval to amend claim 1 as set forth in the attached Examiner's Amendment to place application and claims in condition for allowance. Claim 1 amended to recite closed claim language to distinguish over the prior art. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-14 and 40 are allowed and renumbered 1-13 respectively..